

NEWS/ Courtney Getting No Love From Ticked-Off Designer

by Natalie Finn

Thu., Mar. 26, 2009 5:15 PM PDT

[Like](#) 0
 [Send](#)
[Tweet](#) 0
 [+1](#) 0
 [Email](#)



Jerome Ware/ZUMApres.com

The Boudoir Queen won't be getting in bed with [Courtney Love](#) anytime soon.

A fashion designer has sued the former **Hole** frontwoman for libel, breach of contract and other bad-faith maneuvers, claiming she has become "the latest victim of Love's volatile personality, hair trigger temper, and malicious and tortious behavior," according to the complaint.

Dawn Simorangkir, proprietor of the Boudoir Queen apparel line, states in her lawsuit that Love has spread lies about her on the Internet and at one point threatened her life, saying Simorangkir would be "hunted til [she was] dead."

Per the richly worded suit filed Thursday in Los Angeles Superior Court, Love contacted Simorangkir last year about purchasing some of her designs and subsequently "became infatuated" with the designer.

[View the lawsuit.](#)

In February 2009, after designing a custom dress for Love and sending her on her way with more than \$4,000 worth of Boudoir Queen couture, Simorangkir sent the alt-rocker a bill, which made her angry, according to the suit.

Since their falling out, Love has made multiple attempts to contact her, Simorangkir claims, adding that the former first lady of **Nirvana** threatened her in public and has tried to get her kicked off the website through which she does business.

According to the suit, Love called Simorangkir a "vile horrible lying bitch" in the feedback section of the designer's [Etsy.com](#) page. But that was just one of the "vile and vicious" lies Love supposedly told about the plaintiff—comments about Simorangkir being a cocaine dealer, a thief, an embezzler, a racist and a homophobe were also among the smears.

Simorangkir is asking for unspecified damages to pay for libel, invasion of privacy, intentional interference with a prospective economic advantage, intentional infliction of emotional distress and breach of contract.

The plaintiff maintains that through various dastardly deeds, Love has destroyed her burgeoning small business and caused "irreparable damage" to her reputation.

"Unfortunately, Love must learn this the hard way," the suit states.

[COMMENT](#)